

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma**CMP357 ‘ To improve the accuracy of the TNUoS Locational Onshore Security Factor for the RIIO2 Period’**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 19 January 2021**.

Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen Paul.J.Mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Simon Swiatek
Company name:	Whitelaw Brae Windfarm Limited
Email address:	simon.swiatek@baywa-re.co.uk
Phone number:	07971 071105

CMP357**For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:**

- That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

**Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

CMP357 Standard Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the CMP357 Original Proposal, WACM1 or WACM2 better facilitates the Applicable (Charging) Objectives?	We believe that the original proposal best facilitates the applicable charging objectives
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Yes
3	Do you have any other comments?	We would also note that WACM2 (2 decimal places) also offers a significant improvement on baseline